



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/081,071 02/20/2002 10991680-1 Douglas M. Baney 2322 7590 EXAMINER 10/22/2003 AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. NGUYEN, DUNG T Legal Department, DL429 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Intellectual Property Administration 2828

P.O. Box 7599 Loveland, CO 80537-0599

DATE MAILED: 10/22/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

						De	
		Application	n No.		Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/081,07	I	BANEY ET AL.			
		Examiner			Art Unit		
		Dung (Mich	ael) T	Nguyen	2828		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov r sh et with the correspond nce address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply sepecified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from con	sidera	tion.	•		
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				Park	2	
6)⊠	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.					7	
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				PAUL IP		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers					SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
 a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 							
Attachment	t(s)						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		5) 🔲 1		ary (PTO-413) Paper No al Patent Application (PT		

Application/Control Number: 10/081,071

Art Unit: 2828

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 3-4, and 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Clayton et al. (US2003/0039024).

With respect to claims 1, 3, and 7-11, Clayton show in Fig.2-3 a first optical cavity 34 comprising a bottom mirror 40 located outside of the optical fiber 18, a pumping laser 12 (p.2, para.0022), a top mirror comprising a reflector 46 located within the optical fiber 18, and an active region 38 between the top and bottom mirrors (40, 46).

With respect to claim 4, Clayton disclose a DBR (p.2, para.0035).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Clayton et al. (US2003/0039024) in view of Lading et al. (US6493090). Clayton disclose all limitations of the claim except for the polarization filter. Lading teach a polarization filter (col.12, 1.26-27). For the benefit of a light source, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Clayton a polarization filter as taught by Lading.

Claims 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Clayton et al. (US2003/0039024) in view of Boucart et al. (US6535541). Clayton disclose all limitations of the claim except for the mechanism for altering the wavelength. Boucart teach the mechanism for altering the wavelength (col.10, 1.16-18). For the benefit of altering the wavelength, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Clayton the mechanism as taught by Boucart.

Communication Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung (Michael) T Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-7159. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 17:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Ip can be reached on (703) 308-3098. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/081,071

Art Unit: 2828

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-3329.

Nguyen (Michael) Dung

PAUL IP
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Page 4